1	Q.	(a)	Provide details of the wage increases including all lump sum							
2			payments, bonuses	s, performance pay	or other remuneration granted					
3			to union and mana	gerial employees for	the period 1992 to 2001 and					
4			forecast for 2002 (JCR, Schedule I).						
5		(b)	Provide annual increases in wage and salary expense resulting from							
6			job reclassifications	s for each year for th	ne period 1992 to 2001.					
7										
8	A.	(a)	Details of wage increases for the period 1992 to 2001 and forecast for							
9			2002 are attached.							
10		(b)	Hydro policy does	not specifically defin	e reclassification. There is a					
11			job evaluation and appeal process that assesses changes in duties							
12			and responsibilities, and it is this process that may result in a change							
13			in pay and/or job title for any given position. The data provided below							
14			provides wage and salary expenses resulting from job re-evaluations							
15			for each year from 1998 to 2001.							
16										
17			Reclassification/re-evaluation was not recorded separately prior to							
18			1998 and is therefore unavailable.							
19										
20			<u>YEAR</u>	COST OF JOB EVALUATION						
21				Non-Union	<u>Union</u>					
22			1998	\$28,243	\$178,230					
23			1999	\$17,788	\$17,670					

\$72,148

24

2000

\$285,042

	Non-Unio	n Wage Inc	reases 199	2 - 2001						
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Economic Increase to Salary Scale	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.9%	2%	2%	3% Jan.1, 2% July 2
Performance Pay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lump Sum Payments	0	0	0	0	0	2%	0	0	0	0
Bonuses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NOTE: Step progressions for people below their job rates continued during this period.

	Union Wa	Union Wage Increases 1992 - 2001								
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
IBEW Union	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2%	2%	3% April 2% April
IBEW GIIIGII										2 70 April

FORECAST 2002:

Hydro will commence the collective bargaining process with IBEW 1615 in January 2002 for a new collective agreement. It would be prejudicial to this process to release information on the 2002 projections at this time.